SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROBATION OFFICER'S REPORT

			REPO	RT SEQUENCE NO1
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff		COURT - DEPT/DIV WE - 802	ATTY,	JUDGE REVEL
		HEARING 07/06/2010	EXPIRATION DATE(S) 08/11/2011	COURT CASE NO /DEF 1.0 78V01538-01
	Vs.	C.I.I. NO. A28526911		†•••••
LINSEY DEE LOHAN OS ANGELES SUPERIOR COUNT		PROBATION NO. X-02053170		
		DPO PARKER		AREA OFFICE
WHEREABOUTS	JUL 0 R 2010	NON-APPEARANCE Ourt NON-APPEAR BY: Court		
	BY D. JENSEN, DEPIT			

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

- 1 REASON FOR HEARING:
- 2 THE MATTER IS ON CALENDAR FOR PROGRESS REPORT.
- RECORD BUREAU CLEARANCE:
- 4 A RECORDS CHECK WITH THE CALIFORNIA BUREAU OF CRIMINAL IDENTIFICATION
- 5 AND INVESTIGATION (CII) INDICATES:
- 6 NO NEW ARRESTS.
- 7 A CHECK OF CII, AND DMV WAS COMPLETED ON JUNE 30, 2010.
- 8 REPORT:
- 9 THE PROBATION OFFICER BEGAN SUPERVISING THE DEFENDANT AFTER THE LAST
- COURT HEARING OF MAY 24, 2010.
- THE DEFENDANT HAS REPORTED FOR PROBATION SUPERVISION REGULARLY. SHE
- 12 HAS REPORTED ON THE FOLLOWING DATES, MAY 27, 2010, JUNE 2, 2010,
- 13 JUNE 7, 2010, JUNE 16, 2010, JUNE 24, 2010, AND JUNE 30, 2010.
- 14 ON ALL OF THE ABOVE DATES, THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN REQUIRED TO SUBMIT
- 15 TO RANDOM NARCOTIC AND ALCOHOL TESTING. BEFORE THE TEST RESULTS ARE

^{-1- (}LOHAN)

- 1 DISCUSSED, THE COURT SHOULD NOTE THAT THE DEFENDANT TAKES THE
- 2 FOLLOWING PERSCRIPTION DRUGS: NEXIUM, ZOLOFT, TRAZADONE, ADDERALL,
- 3 AND SOMETIMES DILAIDID FOR DENTAL PAIN.
- 4 THE DEFENDANT WAS TESTED FOR DRUGS AND ALCOHOL ON MAY 27, 2010, AND
- 5 THE TEST WAS POSITIVE FOR AMPHETAMINES. THIS IS NOT A VIOLATION OF
- 6 PROBATION AS THE TEST RESULT IS POSITIVE BECAUSE OF THE DRUG
- 7 ADDERALL. THE NEXT TWO TESTS ON JUNE 2, 2010, AND JUNE 7, 2010, WERE
- 8 POSITIVE FOR AMPHETAMINES AND OPIATES. THIS IS NOT A VIOLATION OF
- 9 PROBATION, AS THESE TESTS WERE POSITIVE FOR ADDERALL AND THE PAIN
- 10 MEDICATION DILAIDID. THE TEST RESULTS FROM JUNE 16, 2010, AND JUNE
- 11 24, 2010, WERE POSITIVE FOR AMPHETAMINES. ONCE AGAIN THERE IS NO
- 12 PROBATION VIOLATION AS THE POSITIVE RESULT IS A RESULT OF THE DRUG
- 13 ADDERALL. AT THE TIME OF THIS WRITING, THE TEST RESULTS FROM JUNE
- 14 30, 2010, ARE UNKNOWN.
- 15 THE DEFENDANT HAS REPORTED FOR SUPERVISION AS INSTRUCTED, AND HER
- 16 ATTITUDE HAS BEEN POSITIVE. SHE HAS BEEN COOPERATIVE WITH PROBATION
- 17 OFFICER, AS SHE HAS PROVIDED MEDICAL PROOF FOR HER PERSCRIPTION
- 18 DRUGS, AND SUBMITTED TO DRUG TESTS WHEN REQUESTED.

19 DEFENDANTS STATEMENT:

- 20 THE DEFENDANT INDICATES SHE HAS BEEN ON THE SAME PERSCRIPTION
- 21 MEDICATION FOR AS LONG AS SHE CAN REMEMBER. SHE STATES SHE WANTS TO
- 22 MOVE ON WITH HER LIFE, AND FEELS THAT SHE HAS DONE EVERYTHING THAT
- 23 THE COURT HAS ASKED HER TO DO.

^{-2- (}LOHAN)

	1 REMARKS:	
	2 SINCE THE LAST HEARING ON MAY 24, 2010,	THE DEFENDANT HAS REPORTED TO
	THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT SIX TIMES. SHE	HAS SUBMITTED TO DRUG AND
	4 ALCOHOL TESTS, AND THE TESTS HAVE NOT BE	EN POSITIVE FOR ALCOHOL OR
	5 ANY ILLEGAL SUBSTANCES.	•
	IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE DEFENDANT BE	CONTINUED ON A SUMMARY GRANT
7	OF PROBATION.	
. 8		
9 10	THE DEFENDANT BE (CONTINUED ON SUMMARY
11		
12	January Lo,	
13 14	Chief PROBATION OFFICER	
15	BARBARA PARKER, DPO SANTA MONICA AREA OFFICE. (310) 260-3525	
16		
17	READ AND APPROVED:	HAVE READ AND CONSIDERED THE FOREGOING REPORT OF THE PROBATION OFFICER
19	JOSEJESUS LOPEZ, SDPO (310) 260-3574	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
20		
21		
23		